UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,749	01/23/2006	Graham Robinson	W004 P01185-US	9054
	7590 04/06/2007 SEPHS & HOLMES, LTI	EXAMINER		
101 DYER STREET 5TH FLOOR PROVIDENCE, RI 02903			THOMPSON, HUGH B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/535,749	ROBINSON, GRAHAM				
Office Action	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hugh B. Thompson II	3634				
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be avail after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or	ER, FROM THE MAILING D able under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 mailing date of this communication. d above, the maximum statutory period extended period for reply will, by statute later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE and the description of the communication of th	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35.U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to con	nmunication(s) filed on 23 Ja	<u>anuary 2006</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FIN</b>	AL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this applicat							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,13,15,1</u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,13,15,16,23 and 25-30</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) 9-12,14 and 17-22 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (legal Notice of Draftsperson's Pate 3) Information Disclosure States Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-7-6	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 3634

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8, 15, 16, 23, 25, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rivitz #1,543,723. Rivitz discloses a ladder support comprised of flexible base member 3, ground engaging parts 20, having over one hundred projections, ladder engaging part/ abutment members 1, and handle 5.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13, 26, 27, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rivitz as applied to claims 8, 15, 16, 23, 25, and 28 above. Rivitz fails to disclose steel ground engaging parts or a polymeric base member. The use of plastics or steel would be obvious updated fabrication materials to one of ordinary skill, not with standing the date of invention being the early 1920's. Therefore, to one of ordinary skill in the art, it would have been obvious to fabricate the ladder support of steel or plastics, this being no more than an obvious design choice not expected to produce any new or unexpected results. It would have been further obvious to maximize the number of ground engaging parts to over one thousand projections, this

Application/Control Number: 10/535,749

Art Unit: 3634

being no more than a design choice determined through routine experiment and optimization, given the disclose of more than one hundred projections.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-12, 14, 17-22, 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hugh B. Thompson II whose telephone number is (571) 272-6837. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9 am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (571) 272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,749

Art Unit: 3634

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Hugh B. Thompson II Primary Examiner

They D. Thousand

Art Unit 3634

March 31, 2007